Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions

Gold-Standard Datasets for Annotation of Slovene Computer-Mediated Communication

Tomaž Erjavec, Jaka Čibej, Špela Arhar Holdt, Nikola Ljubešić, and Darja Fišer

RASLAN 2016 Karlova Studánka, December 2–4, 2016

0000 000	Overview			
	0000	0000	000	00









▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖 = 釣�?

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000	0000	000	00

Introduction

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Introduction ●○○○	Annotation campaign	The datasets 000	Conclusions
Introduction			

- Language technologies need hand annotated datasets for training and evaluation
- There are various tools for Slovene, but only for standard langauge
- Computer Mediated Communication (CMC) differs from standard langauge:
 - no diacritics
 - missing spaces
 - non-standard use of punctuation
 - typos
 - phonetic spelling, slang, dialects
- Difficult to search in CMC corpora
- Tools for standard language (e.g. PoS tagging) do not work well on CMC

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
○●○○		000	00
lanes project			

- Janes: "Jezikoslovna analiza nestandardne slovenščine" (Linguistic Analysis of Non-Standard Slovene)
- Slovene basic research project 2014-2017
- Development of a corpus of Slovene CMC
- Performing linguistic analysis on it
- Developing robust tools and *hand-annotated gold-standard datasets for tool training and testing*

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000			
Janes corbu	S		

- Current version is Janes 0.4
- 9 million texts, 200 million tokens:
 - 107 mt = tweets
 - 47 mt = forum posts
 - 34 mt = blog articles with user comments
 - 15 mt = user comments on news articles
 - 5 mt = Wikipedia talk pages
- Text metadata:
 - user, time of post, (gender, type of user)

- sentiment
- standardness (T1 T3 + L1 L3)

Levels of anno	tation		
Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
000•		000	00

- Tokenisation, sentence segmentation: Python REs for non-standard langauge
 :-], :-PPPP, ^_^
- Word-standardisation: rediacritisation + CSMT normalisation krizisce \rightarrow križišče; jest, jst, jas, js \rightarrow jaz
- MSD tagging and lemmatisation: new CRF-based tools
- Tools work ok, but could be much better
- Needed hand-annotated datasets for these levels of annotation

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions

Annotation campaign

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000	●○○○	000	00
Preparing t	he datasets		

- Datasets sampled from Janes 0.4, (T3L3, T1L3, T3L1, T1L1)
- Kons1: normalisation of 4000 tweets
- Kons2: normalisation of 4000 forum posts and comments on blog posts and news articles
- Kons1-MSD: tagging and lemmatisation of Kons1 (preference to L3)
- Kons2-MSD: tagging and lemmatisation of Kons2 (preference to L3)

• All the texts were first automatically annotated, then imported to the annotation tool

Appetation	ruidalinas		
Introduction 0000	Annotation campaign	l he datasets 000	Conclusions

- Followed Guidelines for annotating standard and historical Slovene texts
- Much more complicated than expected:
 - non-standard words without a standard form (e.g. orng, ornk, oreng, orenk for 'very')
 - foreign language elements (e.g. updateati, updajtati, updejtati, apdejtati for 'to update')
 - proper names, abbreviations, non-standard use of cases and particles etc.

• A training and testing session was organised for the annotators (a team of cca. 10 students)

Annotation platform		
Introduction Annotation campaign 0000 0000	I he datasets	Conclusions

- Annotation was performed in WebAnno
- Difficult to use for correcting tokenisation (multivalued features and special symbols)
- Each text annotated by two annotators and then curated by the team leader.



Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
	0000		
Earmat car			

- Janes corpus is encoded in TEI P5
- WebAnno uses e.g. the tabular TSV format
- TEI2TSV (XSLT)
- TSV2TEI = merge operation: exported TSV + source TEI = TEI with corrected annotations

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000	0000		00

The datasets

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000	0000	●○○	00

Janes-Norm and Janes-Tag

- Janes-Norm (Kons1 and Kons2) = gold-standard dataset for the annotation of tokenisation, sentence segmentation and normalisation
- Janes-Tag is a subset of Janes-Norm (Kons1-MSD and Kons2-MSD) = gold-standard dataset for the annotation of MSDs and lemmas.

• The order of the texts in datasets was randomised

```
The datasets
Introduction
                                                000
Encoding
    <ab xml:id="janes.blog.publishwall.4264.3" type="blog" subtype="T1L3">
       <s>
          <w lemma="kaj" ana="#Rgp">Kaj</w><c> </c>
          <w lemma="biti" ana="#Va-r3s-y">ni</w><c> </c>
          <w lemma="ta" ana="#Pd-nsn">to</w><c> </c>
          <choice>
             <orig><w>tazadnje</w></orig>
             <reg>
                <w lemma="ta" ana="#Q">ta</w><c> </c>
                <w lemma="zadnji" ana="#Agpnsn">zadnje</w>
             </reg>
          </choice><c> </c>
          <choice>
             <orig><w>AAjevska</w></orig>
             <reg><w lemma="aa-jevski" ana="#Agpfsn">AA-jevska</w></reg>
          </choice><c> </c>
          <w lemma="molitev" ana="#Ncfsn">molitev</w>
          <pc ana="#Z">?</pc>
       </s>
```

</ab>

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
		000	
Size of datasets			

- Janes-Norm:
 - 7,800 texts, 185.000 tokens, 144.000 words
 - split about equally to T3L3, T1L3, T3L1, T1L1
 - 27.3% words normalised, 42% of these normalised at the morphological and lexical levels, 5% of these split/joined

- Janes-Tag:
 - 3,000 texts, 75,000 tokens, 56,000 words
 - 80% are L3 texts

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000	0000	000	

Conclusions

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Introduction	Annotation campaign	The datasets	Conclusions
0000	0000	000	●○
Summary			

- Presented first manually annotated CMC datasets
- Lower levels: tokenisation, sentence segmentation, word-normalisation

- Higher levels: MSD tagging, lemmatisation
- More difficult than originally thought

Further work			
Introduction 0000	Annotation campaign	The datasets 000	Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Deposit on CLARIN.SI repository
- Re-train the tools with the new datasets