

# Analyzing Time-Related Clauses in Transparent Intensional Logic

Aleš Horák, Miloš Jakubíček, Vojtěch Kovář

NLP Centre  
Faculty of Informatics  
Masaryk University  
Botanická 68a, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic  
{hales, xjakub, xkovar3}@fi.muni.cz

RASLAN 2011

# Outline

- 1 The TIL Project
- 2 NTA
- 3 Logical Analysis on the Clause Level
- 4 Complex Sentences

# Normal Translation Algorithm in TIL

- specification of translation from **natural language sentences** to **constructions** of Transparent Intensional Logic
- logical analysis based on **syntactic rules**
- describes analysis of all main linguistic phenomena
- the **TIL project**:
  - 2010 – analysis of **simple sentences** in past, present and future tense containing selected verbs
  - 2011 – analysis of **relative time-related subordinate sentences**
  - 2012 – analysis of **complex sentences with temporal events** including direct speech

# Clause Analysis

verb phrase – the central point of each clause

## 1 attributive verbs

*Zmíněné zařízení je číslíkově řízené.*

*(The mentioned device is numerically controlled.)*

$$\lambda w \lambda t (\exists i) \left( \left[ [\text{číslíkově}_{wt}, \text{řízený}], i \right] \wedge \right. \\ \left. \left[ [\text{zmíněný}, \text{zařízení}]_{wt}, i \right] \right) \dots \pi$$

## 2 episodic verbs

*Celková úmrtnost klesá.*

*(The overall mortality rate decreases.)*

$$\lambda w_1 \lambda t_2 (\exists x_3) (\exists i_4) \left( \left[ \text{Does}_{w_1 t_2}, i_4, [\text{Imp}_{w_1}, x_3] \right] \wedge \right. \\ \left. x_3 = \text{klesat}_{w_1} \wedge \left[ [\text{celkový}, \text{úmrtnost}]_{w_1 t_2}, i_4 \right] \right) \dots \pi$$

# Verb Tense

**verb tense** = operation working over

- 1 the **underlying proposition** in the present tense form and
- 2 the **reference time span**
- 3 with regard to an **assertion moment**

P/F/(o(o(o $\tau$ ))(o $\tau$ )) $\tau$  ... past/future tense

**P**( $\langle$ frequency adverb $\rangle$ ( $\langle$ proposition $\rangle$ ),  $\langle$ reference time span $\rangle$ )





# Complex Sentences

## sentence schemata:

- connected with **syntactic rules** which connect a clause with a (possible complex) sentence
- describes analysis based on **conjunctions**

*Petr včera přišel, když Markéta telefonovala babičce.  
(Petr came yesterday, when Markéta phoned her grandmother.)*

když (when/if) can have two readings:

- 1 the temporal **when**
- 2 the implication **if**

The logical analysis offers ambiguously both readings.



# Complex Sentences Analysis

Petr včera přišel (Petr came yesterday): time span TIL:  
 $\lambda t_1 \mathbf{včera}_{tt_1} \dots (o\tau)$  frequency TIL:  $\mathbf{Onc} \dots ((o(o\tau))\pi)_\omega$   
 verbal object TIL:  $x_1 / (o(o\pi)(o\pi)) = \mathbf{přijít}_w \dots o$  clause

TIL:  $\lambda w_1 \lambda t_2 \left[ \mathbf{P}_{t_2}, \left[ \mathbf{Onc}_{w_1}, \lambda w_3 \lambda t_4 (\exists x_5) \left( \right. \right. \right.$   
 $\left. \left. \left[ \mathbf{Does}_{w_3 t_4}, \mathbf{Petr}, [\mathbf{Perf}_{w_3}, x_5] \right] \wedge x_5 = \right. \right.$   
 $\left. \left. \mathbf{přijít}_{w_3} \right) \right], \lambda t_7 \mathbf{včera}_{t_2 t_7} \right] \dots \pi$

# Complex Sentences Analysis

Markéta telefonovala babičce (Markéta phoned her grandmother):

time span TIL: **Anytime**...( $o\tau$ ) frequency TIL:

**Onc**...( $(o(o\tau))\pi$ )<sub>w</sub> verbal object TIL:

$x_1/(o(o\pi)(o\pi)) = [\mathbf{telefonovat}, i_2]_w \wedge \wedge [\mathbf{babička}_{wt}, i_2] \dots o$

clause TIL:  $\lambda w_1 \lambda t_2 \left[ \mathbf{P}_{t_2}, \left[ \mathbf{Onc}_{w_1}, \lambda w_3 \lambda t_4 (\exists x_5) (\exists i_6) (\exists i_7) \left( \right. \right. \right. \right.$   
 $\left. \left. \left. [\mathbf{Does}_{w_3 t_4}, \text{Markéta}, [\mathbf{Perf}_{w_3}, x_5]] \wedge [\mathbf{babička}_{w_3 t_4}, i_6] \wedge \right. \right. \right. \left. \left. \left. \wedge x_5 = [\mathbf{telefonovat}, i_6]_{w_3} \right) \right], \mathbf{Anytime} \right] \dots \pi$

## Complex Sentences Analysis

the corresponding sentence schema is looked up in the lexicon:

```
sentence_rule_schema: schema = S1 'když' S2
reading #1: lwt(tense_temp(awt(#1),awt(#2)))
```

The first reading (**when**):

- adverbial temporal clause
- use the clause's construction directly as a generator of a collection of the time moments where the clause's extension is True
- the subordinate clause is used as a generator of the reference time span – a characteristic function of a class of time moments ( $\lambda t_0[. . .]$ )

# Complex Sentences Analysis

Thus the original main clauses **reference time span**  $\lambda t_7 \mathbf{v\check{c}era}_{tt_7}$  is replaced with

$$\lambda t_1 \left( \mathbf{v\check{c}era}_{tt_2} \wedge \left[ \mathbf{P}_t, \left[ \mathbf{Onc}_w, \lambda w_5 \lambda t_6 (\exists x_7) (\exists i_8) (\exists i_9) \left( \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left[ \mathbf{Does}_{w_5 t_6}, \textit{Mark\acute{e}ta}, \dots \right], \lambda t_7 (t_7 = t_1) \right] \right) \right) \dots ((\mathcal{O}\mathcal{T})\mathcal{T}) \right] \right)$$

## Complex Sentences Analysis

The resulting analysis of the whole sentence in the first (temporal) reading looks like

$$\lambda w_1 \lambda t_2 \left[ \mathbf{P}_{t_2}, \left[ \mathbf{Onc}_{w_1}, \lambda w_5 \lambda t_6 (\exists x_7) \left( \left[ \mathbf{Does}_{w_5 t_6}, Petr, [\mathbf{Perf}_{w_5}, x_7] \right] \wedge \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \wedge x_7 = \mathbf{přijít}_{w_5} \right) \right], \lambda t_9 \left( \mathbf{včera}_{t_2 t_9} \wedge \left[ \mathbf{P}_{t_2}, \left[ \mathbf{Onc}_{w_1}, \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \lambda w_{13} \lambda t_{14} (\exists x_{15}) (\exists i_{16}) \left( \left[ \mathbf{Does}_{w_{13} t_{14}}, Markéta, [\mathbf{Perf}_{w_{13}}, x_{15}] \right] \wedge \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \left[ \mathbf{babička}_{w_{13} t_{14}}, i_{16} \right] \wedge x_{15} = \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \left[ \mathbf{telefonovat}, i_{16} \right]_{w_{13}} \right) \right], \lambda t_{18} (t_{18} = t_9) \right) \right) \right] \dots \pi$$

# Complex Sentences Analysis

The second reading of the example sentence:

reading #2:

`lwt([awt(0(když/(((o((ot)w)((ot)w))t)w))),#1,#2])`

Schema trivialization TIL: **když**... $(o\pi\pi)_{\tau\omega}$

