Measuring Coverage of a Valency Lexicon using Full Syntactic Analysis
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Abstract. Recent development showed that valency information provides a great benefit in many areas of natural language processing. Building valency lexicons is however a complex and time-consuming task from both theoretical and practical points of view, since designing of the lexicon plays a crucial role in its future usability as well as its careful and considered preparation. As for any manually created resource, it is complicated to evaluate its quality. In this paper we consider the usage of the syntactic parser synt for estimating the coverage of the Verbalex verb valency lexicon for Czech. For this task we extended the phrase extraction functionality of the parser, which we describe briefly. Finally we discuss our results and further development.
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1 Introduction

During the last decade researchers tried to enhance their NLP applications by supplying additional linguistic information. The usage of all kinds of resources from basic lexicons over annotated corpora to complex ontologies has proved to be necessary for further development of most computational linguistics applications. Their preparation is however very time-consuming and therefore costly since the prevailing majority of them needs to be created manually (at least partially). This raises many problems in both design and implementation of a particular resource: it ought to be carefully designed from the theoretical point of view because the opportunities to modify it automatically in the future are limited. The actual preparation also has to be addressed attentively to ensure consistency, validity and completeness of the prepared data.

It is therefore of great benefit to make any of these steps a bit easier, e. g. to move from fully manual to semi-automatic processing and to provide (semi-)automatic evaluation methods. In this paper we describe one of such steps that we have taken in the case of building the Verbalex verb valency lexicon for Czech [1]. We used a Czech parser called synt [2] for automatic extraction of shallow verb valencies from the DESAM corpus [3] which is manually annotated. The proposed method gives an estimation of the lexicon coverage as well as speeds up the preparation of the lexicon by providing preprocessed data for annotators and offer suitable examples for existing verb valencies.
2 Syntactic Parser \textit{synt}

The syntactic parser \textit{synt} [4] has been developed for several years in the Natural Language Processing Centre at Masaryk University. It performs an agenda-based head-corner chart parsing using the provided context-free grammar for Czech. For easy maintenance this grammar is recorded in the form of a metagrammar (having about 200 rules) from which the full grammar can be automatically derived (having almost 4,000 rules). Contextual phenomena (such as case-number-gender agreement) are covered using the contextual actions defined for each rule.

It has been shown that \textit{synt} achieves a very good coverage (more than 90 \% [5, p. 77]), but the analysis it provides is highly ambiguous: for some sentences even millions of output syntactic trees can occur. There are two main strategies developed to fight such ambiguity. First, the grammar rules are divided into different priority levels that are used to prune the resulting set of output trees. Second, each grammar rule has a ranking value assigned from which the ranking for the whole tree can be efficiently computed in order to select only the best trees for the output.

This parser also allows effective and unambiguous phrases extraction by using the internal parsing structure of \textit{synt}, a so called chart. The chart is an acyclic multigraph which is built up during the analysis stage and contains all resulting trees. We have employed this technique in extracting shallow verb valencies as described further in this paper. Detailed description of the general extraction algorithm can be found in [6].

3 Verbalex Valency Lexicon

The Verbalex valency lexicon for Czech has been continuously developed since 2004. Currently it contains over 21,000 verb frames for more than 10,000 Czech verbs. It uses the notion of two-level annotation for the so called complex valency frames [7]: the first level provides shallow syntactic valencies (e.g. grammatical cases) whereas the second level contains deep semantic annotation using the semantic roles. Moreover, each valency frame contains a synonymic set mapped to the Czech WordNet [8]. In this paper we are concerned only with the first (syntactic) level. An example valency frame for the verb \textit{skákat} (to jump) looks as follows:

3.1 The BRIEF Format

The Verbalex valency lexicon is stored primarily as XML documents, however the BRIEF format [9] can also be used for describing shallow syntactic valencies. The phrases extraction functionality of the \textit{synt} parser mentioned above allows us to extract all possible valencies of the verb in this BRIEF format. Thanks to this, we can compare the output of the \textit{synt} parser with the shallow valencies as recorded in the Verbalex lexicon. Sample output of the \textit{synt} valency extraction in the BRIEF format is provided in the following example:
Fig. 1. Example valency frame for the Czech verb skákat (to jump): it shows a nominative valency with semantic role of a person or an animal and an accusative valency with the preposition přes (over) and a semantic role of a location or stream.

An accusative and instrumental valency has been found.

An accusative and ablative valency with the preposition v (in) has been found.

A genitive valency with the preposition u (for) and an instrumental valency with the preposition v (in) has been found.

4 Extraction of Shallow Valencies

As outlined above, we have extended the extraction functionality of the synt parser in a way that enables us to obtain various syntactic structures for the given corpus sentences. From these structures we construct simple shallow valency frames for every verb that we then compare to the valency frames available in the Verbalex lexicon. The exact extraction procedure is as follows:

1. identify clauses in the input sentence and process each of them separately,
2. in each clause, identify all prepositional and noun phrases, infinitives and selected conjunctions recorded in Verbalex (až, že, jestli, zda, ať, aby, jak),
3. construct a valency frame in the BRIEF format using the above information together with available morphological annotation,
4. for all automatically extracted valencies of a particular verb, check whether they are available in Verbalex.

In the way described above we are able to find incomplete valency frames, suggest valencies for missing verbs in Verbalex, or offer examples with most complete valency frames. The number of complete valency frames enables us
Table 1. Coverage of the Verbalex valency lexicon on the annotated DESAM corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>indicator</th>
<th>covered</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>verb coverage</td>
<td>2,957</td>
<td>3,685</td>
<td>80.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valency coverage</td>
<td>5,348</td>
<td>9,430</td>
<td>56.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valency coverage with consideration of error analysis</td>
<td>5,348</td>
<td>6,397</td>
<td>83.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Error analysis of missing valencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>indicator</th>
<th>number of missing valencies</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>noun phrases (case only valencies)</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prepositional phrases (preposition+case valencies)</td>
<td>3,142</td>
<td>76.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other (subordinated clauses, infinitives etc.)</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>12.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>4,082</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

also to determine the minimal coverage of the Verbalex lexicon with regard to the data in the DESAM corpus. The related results are shown below – note that for the purpose of this measurements, several relaxations have been performed. We matched the valency frame only against those valencies that can potentially be found by the synt extraction (as listed in Point 2 of the above enumeration). We also ignored the animacy denoted in the BRIEF format since there is no way how synt could obtain this information (besides the animacy of Czech masculines), i.e. the hP and hT tags have been considered to be equal and finally we also didn’t differentiate between various meanings of a single verb denoted in Verbalex since there is no way how to do this on the syntactic level.

An automatic extraction of valencies performed in the way described above has one obvious drawback: with the available syntactic information we are generally not able decide whether a noun or prepositional phrase associated with a verb is an obligatory valency (argument) or a non-obligatory adjunct (modifier) usually expressing time, place or manner (in most cases with a preposition). Therefore we performed a manual error analysis of a random sample of 200 potential prepositional valencies which have not been found (representing over 75% from all missing valencies) in the Verbalex lexicon. It revealed that a vast majority (193, i.e. 96.5%) of those valencies were actually adjuncts (deliberately not listed in the Verbalex lexicon).

Thus our results provided in Table 1 below consist of three different measurements. First, we show the coverage of the lexicon on a verb-only level (i.e. whether there is an entry for a verb in the lexicon). Second, we show the raw results of valency matching (performed on the verbs available in the lexicon). In the end we give an estimation of the valency coverage by extrapolating our error analysis results on missing prepositional valencies to the whole lexicon. Based on this measurement, we estimate the minimum coverage of the Verbalex lexicon on valency level to be 83.60%.

---

1 The extrapolated value from the error analysis has been computed as: 9430 – 0.965 · 3142 (number of all potential valencies − relative frequency of adjuncts in the sample · number of all missing prepositional valencies).
5 Conclusion

In this paper we described the involvement of the Czech parser synt in developing and evaluating of the Verbalex valency lexicon. We consider the demonstrated method as well as the underlying technique (extraction of phrases) to be universal and easily applicable to similar tasks in the future. It should be also mentioned that the relation between synt and Verbalex is symbiotic in many aspects: we can not only improve Verbalex by synt, but also enhance the parser with the help of Verbalex, as it has been proposed in [10]. Our results support the evidence that verb valencies play an integral role in Czech syntax and should be further investigated.
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